

20010113.ba v03_n080.bam.20010113

>From ???@??? Sat Jan 13 19:30:17 2001 -0600
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 19:27:47 CST
From: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: BOATANCHORS digest 3080
Message-Id: <20010114024408.9312C5F35@devel43.theporch.com>

BOATANCHORS Digest 3080

Topics covered in this issue include:

- 1) Re: Less is More
by Robert Vincent <rvi3489u@postoffice.uri.edu>
- 2) Re: "Bandspread" Coil information
by Michael Tallent <mtallent@concentric.net>
- 3) RE: Less is more, etc.
by "Sandy Blaize" <ebjr@i-55.com>
- 4) Re: TS-830 ALC 30L-1
by "Joe Watson" <wwatson@mmcable.com>
- 5) FS: DY-44/U dynamotor for SCR 619 (BC 1335)
by Paul Thekan <Paul.Thekan@eimac.cpii.com>
- 6) Tektronix "Typical Oscilloscope Circuitry"
by "Richard W. Solomon" <w1kszt@tiac.net>
- 7) Re: Less is More
by "Howard R. Weeks" <weeksh@bellsouth.net>
- 8) RE: Less is more, etc.
by "Jim Berry" <basalop@gte.net>
- 9) Re: Less is More
by "John Morris" <moe@email.msn.com>
- 10) Trying to avoid still more problems
by listtown@nanniandjack.com (Mail List Owner)
- 11) Re: More is Less
by "Hue Miller" <kargokult@proaxis.com>
- 12) Re: First Ham rcvr (Was 'Less is More')
by "Mike Warren W5MAZ" <w5maz@uswest.net>
- 13) Obit - Bill Hewlett
by Jerry Proc <jproc@idirect.com>
- 14) Re: More or Less
by James Hanlon <knjhanlon@qwest.net>
- 15) ADMINISTRIVIA: Fancy HTML in Posts
by listtown@nanniandjack.com (Mail List Owner)
- 16) Msg for Robert Fowle
by "ROBERT W. DOWNS" <RWDowns_WA5CAB@compuserve.com>
- 17) Re: Communications radios VS wood radios
by Scott Robinson <spr@earthlink.net>
- 18) Must be Gold Plated

by "Ed Sieb" <sieb@sympatico.ca>
19) Re: First Ham rcvr (Was 'Less is More')
by Avery Comarow <acomarow@usnews.com>
20) Knight T60 & VFO
by "Don Ehrlich" <ehrlich@olypen.com>
21) READ!: CX Official Announcement!
by MODSTEPH@ACS.EKU.EDU

Message-ID: <3A5F4668.58E2@postoffice.uri.edu>
Date: Fri, 12 Jan 2001 18:01:12 +0000
From: Robert Vincent <rvi3489u@postoffice.uri.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: Less is More
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

My Career in amateur radio was almost terminated by that darn S-38C, luckily I had the common sense to realize that maybe bigger was better and got an RAL-7, it was TRF super Regen but it was light years better than the stupid S-38C. Rob K1DFT

Message-ID: <3A5F7EBF.331E1A7D@concentric.net>
Date: Fri, 12 Jan 2001 17:01:35 -0500
From: Michael Tallent <mtallent@concentric.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
CC: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: "Bandspread" Coil information
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Sandy:

I have the Ninth edition also, a scanner, CDRom burner. I could put them on somebodys web site. I can post pictures to Photopoint, but they have to be JPG.

Mike Tallent W6MXV in KY

Sandy Blaize wrote:

>
> Hello All,
> Someone asked a while back if there was a way to
> calculate where to put the coil tap for "bandspread" hand
> wound coils. These for regenerative receivers or superhets.

>
> I knew I had seen something somewhere, but
> could not recall where! So I "kept my eyes open" when
> I was perusing my old literature. Eureka.....
> there it was on pages 80 thru 84 of the Editor &
> Engineers (ex- Frank Jones) "Radio Handbook",
> Ninth Edition (1942). There is formulas and some
> graphic charts for series/parallel bandspread and tapped
> coil bandspread systems respectively.
> Unfortunately, I DO NOT have a flatbed scanner
> so I cannot offer the pages via e-mail. I tried photographing
> them with the digital camera, but they don't come out
> well enough to read easily!
> Perhaps someone out there can scan the
> pages and offer them to the group.
> 73,
> Sandy Blaize, W5TVW
> >From the heart of: Pumpkin Center, LA., USA

Message-Id: <200101122158.PAA23842@exit1.i-55.com>
From: "Sandy Blaize" <ebjir@i-55.com>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: RE: Less is more, etc.
Date: Fri, 12 Jan 2001 14:16:26 -0600
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I had an S-38. The one with the seperate BFO,
Also had an SW-54. Then I got an old National SW-3.
It ran rings around both of those sets! The first "decent"
commercial receiver I got was a second hand National
NC-125. It was a pretty decent set. Also, the
Hallicrafters S-40 was very popular and a very decent
"minimum" receiver. For the money back in the
1950's, a homebrewed converter ahead of a BC-454
(3-6 Mhz) Command set receiver was as good as you
could get for what the BC-454 and parts cost at that time.
You could commonly get brand-new in the box BC454's
for \$10 each all over the place.

Also the BC-348 was selling for \$60 new.
It would outperform anything you could buy for the
same bucks in Hallicrafters/National/etc.

73,
Sandy Blaize, W5TVW
>From the heart of: Pumpkin Center, LA., USA

Message-ID: <000d01c07ce9\$76b56f00\$88f2fea9@mmcable.com>
From: "Joe Watson" <wwatson@mmcable.com>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Cc: <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: TS-830 ALC 30L-1
Date: Fri, 12 Jan 2001 16:46:12 -0600
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Same approach here. I have used 30L-1's with three different Kenwood TS-940's w/o using the ALC. I have never had any problem, although I have been careful to stay within ratings on the 30L-1 currents.

Joe
W5WBR

----- Original Message -----
From: <jan@skirrow.org>
To: "Old Tube Radios" <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Cc: <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Sent: Friday, January 12, 2001 1:51 PM
Subject: Re: TS-830 ALC 30L-1

> At 06:28 PM 1/6/01 -0500, you wrote:
> >Hi Gang:
> >Need to know how to handle the ALC ckt for the Kenwood TS-830 to a 30L-1.
> >I know there are people who have solved this problem.
> >
> >Still looking for a 30L-1 tuning knob for my set up KWM-2A and 30L-1
> >Any help??
> >Ed
> >WA3BZT
>
> Hi Ed ...
>
> For what it's worth ...
>
> When I got my 30L-1 I decided to try it w/o using the TS-830S ALC. It
> worked so well that I never did get around to fixing it properly. You do
> have to be a bit careful with the Kenwood level knob, and watch the 30L1
> plate current, or you can overdrive the amp pretty easily. But I've had no
> problems and still run the same 811s that came with the amp. These tubes
> seem to be fairly forgiving! I run mostly RTTY or CW - almost never SSB.
> The send/receive relay in the Kenwood seems to have no problems handling
> the 30L-1 relay - altho I was concerned about this initially.

>
> Jan Skirrow, VE7DJX
>
>
>
> ... in rainy Maple Bay, BC, Canada
>
> *****
> <http://skirrow.org/Boatanchors/>
> <http://skirrow.org/Classic%20Technology/>
>
> Information, Parts, Pictures, Articles
>
> Do you shop eBay? If so ... have a look at mine
> <http://cgi2.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewListedItems&userid=ba3>
> *****
>

Message-Id: <4.2.0.58.20010112155151.009592c0@pop3.eimac.cpii.com>
Date: Fri, 12 Jan 2001 16:06:59 -0800
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
From: Paul Thekan <Paul.Thekan@eimac.cpii.com>
Subject: FS: DY-44/U dynamotor for SCR 619 (BC 1335)
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

I have a NOS DY-44/U dynamotor that was used to provide 12 vdc to the BC 1335 with 24 vdc in. The BC 1335 was originally set up to run on 6 or 12 vdc. When the military started using 24 vdc that's when this dynamotor came in. It has been sitting on a shelf with the power input cable wrapped up in a cardboard sheath. There are a few little nicks in the paint but overall in it is in very nice shape as is also the cables. The only thing bad is that the rubber boot over the power on/off toggle switch has deteriorated with age. If your familiar with the SCR 619 the dynamotor is the same size as the battery box CH 291. I've tested it and it works.

Asking \$50 plus shipping.

Thank you
Paul

From: "Richard W. Solomon" <w1ksz@tiac.net>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Tektronix "Typical Oscilloscope Circuitry"
Date: Fri, 12 Jan 2001 19:26:30 -0500

Message-ID: <LOBBJFMMJJCIMDIAGBJLMEMDFHAA.w1ksz@tiac.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Published by Tektronix in 1962. 12 Chapters on the introduction
to scope circuitry (the tube variety). 295 pages, hard bound.
Has usual Library notations on spine and inside.
\$20 shipped CONUS (extra if outside US).
73, Dick, W1KSZ

Message-ID: <00af01c07cfa\$a3c2e160\$9eb5d6d1@howard>
From: "Howard R. Weeks" <weeksh@bellsouth.net>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: Less is More
Date: Fri, 12 Jan 2001 19:48:37 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I also used an S-38 in the 50s on 40 CW - and worked the world with it.
Could not have had "more" fun with any other receiver.
Before that, I used an old RCA broadcast receiver with short wave from the
late
30s. Used another receiver as the BFO. Worked lots of CW with that one.
Howard Weeks K5JCP
Harlem, GA

----- Original Message -----
From: Mike B. Feher <n4fs@monmouth.com>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Sent: Friday, January 12, 2001 12:28 PM
Subject: Re: Less is More

Before building a couple of the HBR series of receivers in the early 60's my
main receiver was an S-38B. I used it for many phone and CW QSOs. It was
pretty poor on 10 meters but I built a cascade 6CW4 nuvistor preamp for it
that really did wonders. So, for a kid on a limited budget it was more than
acceptable. 73 - Mike

Mike B. Feher, N4FS
89 Arnold Blvd.
Howell NJ, 07731
(732) 901-9193

From: "Jim Berry" <basalop@gte.net>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: RE: Less is more, etc.
Date: Fri, 12 Jan 2001 20:30:39 -0800
Message-ID: <000101c07d19\$95ef0d60\$45020f3f@default>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hello OTR Fans,

I must be a short timer or something. I got my ticket in 1962 when I was still in highschool. Found that WWII gear, that was affordable, was superior to anything made by the ham manufactures, and cost much less. My first rig was a TCS. Think it cost me 20 bux from the local ship yard. Brought it home, hooked it up to an end fed wire and proceeded to work WAS. Only in recent years have I messed around with anyone's "dream" gear. Sorry to say it, but most was a bunch of consumer junk. I am only glad I did not fork out any money for the stuff, then have to suffer through using it. I must have been the only one who ran a complete TCS in it's stock form. Still have shoe boxes full of QSL cards from those days, when I was KN7SLI. I did cheat though, and used a Q5'er with the TCS receiver at times.

73 Jim K7SLI

Message-ID: <011501c07d1b\$572b0500\$e7e2fea9@dad-s-office>
From: "John Morris" <moe@email.msn.com>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: Less is More
Date: Fri, 12 Jan 2001 20:43:13 -0800
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I'm glad someone else used the hisses=)
I just couldn't wait for my R-100A kit to arrive so I used my fathers old Elgin AM/FM/SW portable.
If my heart beat today like it did back in '64 when I got that first contact, I'd be pushing up roses...

John-WBORLD

-----Original Message-----

From: Avery Comarow <acomarow@usnews.com>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Date: Friday, January 12, 2001 9:40 AM
Subject: Re: Less is More

>You guys are pikers. The first radio I used for hamming was a BFO-less
>Zenith Transoceanic. You ain't lived until you've made Novice CW contacts
>by listening to hisses, on a radio where the width of the dial pointer
>spans the Novice portion of 40M.
>
>Then I graduated to an NC-2-40D, a true boatanchor if ever there was one. I
>loved it, and still do.
>
>73, Avery W4AVE
>
>

Message-Id: <200101130515.f0D5FMS16588@osr506.nanniandjack.com>
From: listown@nanniandjack.com (Mail List Owner)
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Trying to avoid still more problems
Date: Fri, 12 Jan 2001 21:15:22 -0800 (PST)

OK Boys and Girls-

ALL HANDS!!!! BEWARE!!!! READ THIS CAREFULLY!!!!!!

I have wrestled with this long enough... I am momentarily suspending a
rule, long enough to slide this one through, and then the gate slams
again...

There are SEVERAL of you infected with a virus, the "haha.sexy.com"
virus...

I have so far wasted enough time writing to individuals, this is
for the list:

Go immediatley to your email reader software, select the preferences
or whatever you do to change settings, and adjust your set to:

1) NEVER EVER OPEN AN ATTACHMENT UNLESS YOU KNOW *EXACTLY* WHAT IT IS!

2) CHANGE YOUR SETTINGS ALSO TO USE *ONLY* PLAIN TEXT!!!!!!!

3) SELECT THE OPTION THAT CHANGES HTML TO PLAIN TEXT!!!!!!!

And last, just because an attachment or email promises you a quick peek up Snow White's skirt on her birthday, DO NOT BE AN IDIOT AND OPEN IT EXPECTING ANYTHING BUT A CASE OF INTERNET VENEREAL DISEASE!

Sheesh! I am not judging morality here, I am witnessing STUPIDITY!

GET A VIRUS CHECKER IMMEDIATELY AND STOP ATTEMPTING TO SPREAD VIRUSES... PLEASE!!!!

And for the three of you I have written to already individually that now have it yet again, what part of my suggestions weren't clear????

Snow White doesn't have TUBES.... Sheesh!

--

73

Jack, W4KH/Mobile - - - Mailing List Archiver/Owner - - -
listown@nanniandjack.com - "Plus ca change, plus c'est la meme chose"
"Il n'y a que les idiots qui ne changent jamais d'idee"

Message-ID: <005d01c07d2b\$c47a77c0\$b9c36ac6@oemcomputer>

From: "Hue Miller" <kargokult@proaxis.com>

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

Subject: Re: More is Less

Date: Fri, 12 Jan 2001 22:40:42 -0800

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Reading about others' experience reminds me of not so many years ago, when older hands would say of something, "would make a good novice rig". The stuff they appraised so, always had rotten bandspread, poor selectivity, poor calibration, mediocre sensitivity, and so on. I guess the novices needed to build character. Like having to use a heavy old 1-speed bike before graduating to a 10-speed.

Hue Miller

Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 10:35:02 -0600

Message-ID: <00c501c07d7e\$c7ac8f20\$6b90e23f@4h8ha>

From: "Mike Warren W5MAZ" <w5maz@uswest.net>

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

Subject: Re: First Ham rcvr (Was 'Less is More')
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Try using a ARC-5 for 40M CW as a new Novice -- broad as a barn!

73 de Mike/W5MAZ

> You guys are pikers. The first radio I used for hamming was a BFO-less
> Zenith Transoceanic. You ain't lived until you've made Novice CW contacts
> by listening to hisses, on a radio where the width of the dial pointer
> spans the Novice portion of 40M.
>
> 73, Avery W4AVE
>
>

Message-ID: <3A608473.4FAB72E4@idirect.com>
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 11:38:11 -0500
From: Jerry Proc <jproc@idirect.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
CC: skywide@egroups.com
Subject: Obit - Bill Hewlett
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

William Hewlett co-founder of H-P has passed away at age 87. Mr. Hewlett, who suffered a stroke in 1993 died in his sleep yesterday. Together with his partner David Packard, now deceased, they founded a company that would make anything to bring in a nickel. Starting with \$538 and a rented garage, now a historic landmark, they grew the company into a \$49 billion manufacturer of high quality computers and electronic instruments. Forbes Magazine estimated Hewlett's personal worth in the area of \$9 billion. The company's first success was an audio oscillator and Walt Disney studios bought eight units for the movie Fantasia in order to test sound equipment..

Mr. Packard is survived by his wife Rosemary, five children from his first marriage and five stepchildren from his second marriage. His first wife Flora died in 1977.

--

Jerry Proc VE3FAB
e-mail:jproc@idirect.com
<http://webhome.idirect.com/~jproc/ve3fab>
HMCS HAIDA Historic Naval Ship. Toronto, Ontario

Message-ID: <3A6092D9.EA5A7A2@qwest.net>
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 10:39:37 -0700
From: James Hanlon <knjhanlon@qwest.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: More or Less
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

With all of the traffic about the SW-54 and S38's, I just have to speak up about my Echophone EC-1's. I just completed restoring a pair of EC-1's that found their way into my domain last summer. Originally I thought I might make one complete receiver out of two partial ones, one lacked a dial cover and the other the cabinet top and speaker. But the paint color on them didn't match, so I just wound up making a new dial cover and a new top, and now I have two functional EC-1's. In case you are not familiar with the EC-1, it is the 1941 predecessor to the Hallicrafters S-41. It covers the BC band through 30 mc in three bands instead of four. It does have genuine electrical bandspread, provided by a single plate addition to the main tuning capacitor. (Is that what the S38 used, or did it have a separate bandspread capacitor?) Its front end converter tube is a 12K8, more noisy than the 12SA7 that the S41 and S38 used. It has a 12SK7 IF, 12SQ7 detector and first audio, 35L6 audio output, 35Z5 rectifier and 12J5 bfo. It is an AC/DC set of course, the chassis is connected to one side of the AC line and it is isolated from the cabinet and the outside world by various insulators.

The first time I listened on 40 cw with one of the Echophones was the SS weekend. I heard a lot of signals calling CQSS and working one another, mostly all at once. Everything sounds T7 even with a new power supply filter capacitor, but who cares. This was a cheap (\$19.95 when it came out, \$24.95 when the war started), available little box that a GI could take with him and that would pick up SWBC from all around the world. One of mine is now in the living room tuned to the BBC on the 6 mc band. It's neat. Now I'll have to try using it on 40 cw for a few QSO's. Maybe

I'll pair it with my 1941 Meissner Signal Shifter in the next AWA contest.

73,

Jim, W8KGI

Message-Id: <200101131915.f0DJF0JX26962@osr506.nanniandjack.com>
From: listown@nanniandjack.com (Mail List Owner)
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: ADMINISTRIVIA: Fancy HTML in Posts
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 11:15:00 -0800 (PST)

Gang-

Please accept this periodic posting as it is intended:
A suggestion that will help everyone on the list...

Many many of the members of the list read through text-based mailers on systems of other than WIntel origins... this is particularly true for those members who are "off-shore" where the technology is too expensive to justify. Just keep in mind that NOT everyone reads the list postings with the very latest windowed technology.

PLEASE avoid the use of HTML and "quoted printable" in your email. If you don't know what this means, then PLEASE get help and set your mailer up so that you send your posts to the list in "PLAIN TEXT" only, with NO fancy HTML.... generally, avoid fancy fonts and colors, which will force your mailer to use the fancy stuff, and creates the problem.

The problem is serious enough that we have taken steps to protect the list... we have created a filter that detects anything sent to the list that is not plain text, and deletes the rest of the message from the place where the non-text is detected.

You may have seen "FOBIDDEN FORMAT" in a post to the list, and wondered what happened... it is the phrase we insert to let the poster know that the post contained a binary, an attachment, HTML, a "vcard" or some other format that presents a problem to the List Processor... it enforces consideration of your fellow BoatAnchors members.

PLEASE use only plain text.

PLEASE Get help with setting your mailer to not send the fancy HTML and quoted printable.

PLEASE be considerate of the list resources and your fellow members.

Thanks for your attention

--

73

Jack, W4KH/Mobile - - - BoatAnchor Mailing List Owner - - -
listown@nanniandjack.com - "Plus ca change, plus c'est la meme chose"
"Il n'y a que les idiots qui ne changent jamais d'idee"
Sat Jan 13 11:15:00 PST 2001

Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 15:39:27 -0500
From: "ROBERT W. DOWNS" <RWDowns_WA5CAB@compuserve.com>
Subject: Msg for Robert Fowle
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Message-ID: <200101131539_MC2-C199-6CEC@compuserve.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Disposition: inline

Groups,

Sorry for intrusion. Robert Fowle (Hammarlund collector), if you are still
around, please contact me direct. I have a question that you can answer.=

Robert Downs
<RWDowns_WA5CAB@compuserve.com>
Houston

Message-Id: <v03007803b6866bd9ee2a@[209.179.194.54]>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 12:38:00 -0800
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
From: Scott Robinson <spr@earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: Cummunications radios VS wood radios

Folks,

All this talk of mil surplus vs Ham gear reminds me that for voice SWL'ing,
good '30s wood radios (good means has an RF amp) are quite sensitive, more
so than my SX-42 in its present state at least. Every time I got my hands
on a comx receiver I was disappointed in its sensitivity. Of course I do

not need extreme selectivity, a VFO, or a product detector for that use.

it's posible that most of the ham radios were designed for resonant antennas and of course I had only a (not very) long wire. But my favorite for this use, a 1939 GE FE-112 has an RF amp, 2 IFs, and a very complex (takes 2-6H6's) delayed AVC system. It does pull 'em in! I also have a big RCA 15K console, also has 2 IFs, and if it didn't drift about 30 kHz in the first ohour it was on I'd use it more.

Regards,

Scott Robinson
spr@earthlink.net

Junque is GOOD for you!

From: "Ed Sieb" <sieb@sympatico.ca>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Must be Gold Plated
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 15:44:47 -0500
Message-ID: <LOBBJHOL00HLIPLONIAFAEGGDJAA.sieb@sympatico.ca>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Oh my.... must be gold plated....
<http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?MfcISAPICommand=ViewItem&item=1207409934>

Message-Id: <3.0.6.32.20010113162330.007bcdd0@pop3.norton.antivirus>
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 16:23:30 -0500
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
From: Avery Comarow <acomarow@usnews.com>
Subject: Re: First Ham rcvr (Was 'Less is More')
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Yup, my best friend did that. Broad it was. But at least 7-9.1MC spread out the band a lot better than my T0 did with its 8-12MC or whatever it was. Bet your ARC-5 was cheaper, too, even after you cobbled up an AC supply, considering all those wonderful junker TVs that you could pluck transformers from like cherries.

73, Avery W3AVE

At 03:37 PM 1/13/01 -0500, you wrote:

>Try using a ARC-5 for 40M CW as a new Novice -- broad as a barn!

>

> 73 de Mike/W5MAZ

>

>> You guys are pikers. The first radio I used for hamming was a BFO-less
>> Zenith Transoceanic. You ain't lived until you've made Novice CW contacts
>> by listening to hisses, on a radio where the width of the dial pointer
>> spans the Novice portion of 40M.

> >

>> 73, Avery W4AVE

>>

>>

>

>

>

Message-ID: <001f01c07dad\$8489a000\$95f9cdd0@fpfzqlga>

From: "Don Ehrlich" <ehrich@olypen.com>

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

Subject: Knight T60 & VFO

Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 14:09:33 -0800

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

A friend has the Knight T60 in very dirty but complete condition ...
probably not working but fixable. The VFO has its own built-in power supply
and is complete and working but also very dirty. I see no model number for
the VFO but it looks like it was intended to go with the transmitter. I
told him that the transmitter was not worth the effort to fix up (I am very
unimpressed with the design) but that someone on the list may want the
transmitter and VFO for the cost of shipping plus a small (\$50 bux or so)
price.

This is not an offer to sell yet ... I would just like to know if my
judgement of value is about right and if anyone out there would like to have
this gear.

If anyone has detailed questions about the gear I will take a closer look
and respond the best I can.

Don K7FJ

CW call "CQ CX;" phone call "CQ Classic Exchange."

Suggested frequencies:

CW: 3.545, 7.045, 14.045, 21.135, 28.180
Novice/Tech Plus: 3.695, 7.120, 21.135, 28.180
Phone: 3.880, 7.290, 14.280, 21.380, 28.320
7.045 and 3.545 are usually the most popular CX frequencies.

Scoring: Multiply total QSO's (all bands) by total number of different receivers plus transmitters (transceivers count as both xmtr and rcvr) plus states/provinces/countries worked on each band and mode.

Multiply that total by your CX Multiplier, the total years old of all receivers and transmitters used, three QSO's minimum per unit. For transceiver, multiply age by two. If equipment is homebrew, count it as a minimum of 25 years old unless actual construction date or date of its construction article (in the case of a "reproduction") is older.

Total QSO's all bands
times
RCVRs + XMTRs + states/provinces/countries
(total each band and mode separately; add totals together)
times
CX Multiplier:

SCORE = QSO's x (Rx + Tx + QTH's) x CX Mult

Certificates and appropriate memorabilia are awarded every now and then for the highest score, the longest DX, exotic equipment, best excuses and other unusual achievements. Send logs, comments, anecdotes, pictures to:

Allan Stephens, 106 Bobolink Dr., Richmond, KY 40475.

Include TWO-ounce SASE for next CX Newsletter and announcement of next CX.

E-mail reports may be sent to modsteph@acs.eku.edu (A1, N5AIT).

End of BOATANCHORS Digest 3080
